

| Date | |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| The <i>Client</i> is | |
| MHA+ member authority | |
| Client manager | |
| Address for communication | |
| Address for electronic communication | |

Under clauses 3.4 - 3.12 of the MHA+ PSP 4 Annex B: Framework information, we invite you to undertake in a mini competition under Lot 2.

The split of quality / price shall be within a range of 20% / 80%¹. The calculation of the overall score is detailed in **Annex A: Mini Competition Evaluation**

1. Quality

The elements of quality derived from the Framework Quotation Information, will represent

% of the quality mark.

(if you wish to use the quality scores from the tender enter 100% here. If you wish to add additional quality requirements, adjust the percentage accordingly)

The elements of quality derived from the Framework Quotation Information, will represent

% of the quality mark.

The items of the mini-competition quality submission are included in Annex B: Quality

2. Price

The elements of price derived from the Framework Quotation Information, will represent

% of the price mark

(if you wish to use the price scores from the tender enter 100% here. If you wish to determine price from the mini-competition, adjust the percentage accordingly)

The elements of price derived from the Framework Quotation Information, will represent

% of the price mark

The items of the mini-competition price submission are included in Annex C: Contract Data

3. Quotation Information

| Role/Job Title | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|---------------|--|--|
| Specialism | | | | |
| Band | | Hours | | |
| IR35 assessment undertaken | | | | |
| Inside IR 35 | | Outside IR 35 | | |

4. Deadline for Queries and Responses

| The deadline for queries in relation to the mini-competition shall be | Time | Date |
|---|------|------|
| Suppliers shall return the mini-competition documents by | Time | Date |

| The mini-competition documents shall be returned to: | | | | |
|--|---------------|--|--|--|
| Name | | | | |
| Address for communication | Address | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Address for electronic communication | Email address | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Annex A: Tender evaluation

Marking of the Mini Competition Quality Score (QS)

If the Tender scores from the framework quotation information are to be used then the scores for Quality, will be as per the tender and no further assessment is required.

| Waterman Aspen = (TQ) | Matchtech = (TQ) |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| (i a) | |

If a blend is to be used the calculation shall be as follows:

Tender quality score (TQ) x percentage relevant = Tender quality mini competition factor (A)

Mini competition quality score (MCQS) x percentage relevant = Mini competition quality factor (B)

Total overall mini competition quality score (QS) = (A) + (B)

The mini competition score shall be calculated as follows:

The total scores for all the questions will be added together. The tenderer with the highest total quality score will be awarded a score of 50. The other total quality score will be adjusted on a pro-rata basis using the formula $50 \times Q/HQ$ (where HQ is the highest quality score, and Q is the quality score being considered). This will become the mini competition quality score (MCQS).

The mini competition quality questions and marking system are included in Annex B: Quality.

Marking of the Price score

If the Tender scores from the framework quotation information are to be used then the scores for Price, will be as per the tender and no further assessment is required.

Waterman Aspen = (TQ)

Matchtech = (TQ)

If a blend is to be used the calculation shall be as follows:

Tender price score (TQ) x percentage relevant = Tender price mini competition factor (C)

Mini competition quality score (MCPS) x percentage relevant = Mini competition price factor (D)

Total overall mini competition quality score (PS) = (C) + (D)

The mini competition score shall be calculated as follows:

The tenderer with the lowest tendered price will receive a score of 50 marks. The other tendered price will be scored on a pro-rata basis using the formula $100 \times TL/T$ (where TL is the lowest tendered price, and T is the tendered price being considered). This will become the price score.

The pricing requirements will be detailed in Annex C: Contract Data

Annex B: Quality

| <i>Client</i> to add IR35 Assessment here | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Client to also add the Status Determination Statement (SDS) here if required | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Client to add Job Description here | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| <i>Client</i> to add any specific quality questions here | | |
| Cheft to add any specific quality questions here | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| <i>Client</i> to add the mechanism for marking the quality responses | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Client to add relevant PSSC Contract Data Parts 1 and 2

Annex D: Form of Tender

4